

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/756,133 | 01/09/2001 | Niles A. Fleischer | 196/36 | 6526 |
| 75 | 90 09/16/2002 | | | |
| DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD. | | | EXAMINER | |
| c/o Bill Polkinghorn- Discovery Dispatch 9003 Florin Way | | | CHANEY, CA | ROL DIANE |
| Upper Marlboro | o, MD 20772 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1745 | 5 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | かくっと |
|---|--|--|-------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/756,133 | FLEISCHER ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Carol Chaney | 1745 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address - | - |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL | Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 N | MONTH(S) FROM | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a. cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> | <u>January 2001</u> . | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | nis action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | ance except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C | itters, prosecution as to the meri D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | ts is |
| Disposition of Claims | _ | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | | | |
| <u> </u> | wit from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-62 are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement | | |
| Application Papers | cicolon requirement. | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | | the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a)□ approved b)□ | disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | eply to this Office action. | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received in . | Application No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Both See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | tic priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(e) (to a provisional applic | cation). |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice o | r Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | |

Art Unit: 1745

El ction/R strictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-30, drawn to a polymer membrane, classified in class 521, subclass 25.
- II. Claims 31-39, and 60, drawn to an electrochemical system, . classified in class 429, subclass 122.
- III. Claims 61-62, drawn to a method of making a polymer membrane, classified in class 521, subclass 27.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a coating membrane for any household object. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another process, such as a gas-phase polymerization.

Art Unit: 1745

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the event applicants select the invention of Group II, one of the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention of Group II must be elected:

Claims 34-36, directed to a fuel cell.

Claims 41-45, and 52-55 directed to a rechargeable battery.

Claims 46-49 and 56-59, directed to a capacitor.

Claim 50, directed to a pseudocapacitor.

Claim 51, directed to a primary (non-rechargeable) battery.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 31-33, 37-40 are generic. Thus, applicant must elect one of the following groups of claims to be examined:

Claims 31-40 (fuel cell)

Claims 31-33, 37-45 and 52-55 (rechargeable battery)

Claims 31-33, 37-40, 46-49, 56-59 (capacitor)

Claims 31-33, 37-40 and 50 (pseudocapacitor)

Claims 31-33, 37-40 and 51 (primary battery)

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

Page 5

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3777. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310

for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Carol Chaney

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1745

CC

September 14, 2002